

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
DECISION OF THE PUBLIC  
EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD



TURLOCK SCHOOL DISTRICTS  
(TURLOCK JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
TURLOCK JOINT UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT),

Employers, Petitioners,

and

TURLOCK SCHOOL COUNSELORS ASSOCIATION,

Employee Organization,

and

TURLOCK TEACHERS ASSOCIATION, CTA/NEA,

Employee Organization,

and

TURLOCK PROFESSIONAL EDUCATORS GROUP,

Employee Organization,

and

TURLOCK AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TEACHERS,

Employee Organization,

and

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION,  
LOCAL 110, AFL-CIO,

Employee Organization,

and

CALIFORNIA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION,  
TURLOCK CHAPTER 56,

Employee Organization.

Case Nos. S-R-4  
S-R-7  
S-R-61  
S-R-97

PERB Order No. JR-4

January 18, 19 78

ORDER DECLINING REQUEST  
TO JOIN IN JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Public Employment Relations Board itself hereby declines

request of petitioner in the above-captioned case to join in judicial review of EERB Order No. Ad-18.

Public Employment Relations Board  
by

Stephen Barber  
Executive Assistant to the Board

Jerilou Cossack Twohey, Member, concurring:

I agree that we should not join in the Districts' request for judicial review.<sup>1</sup> The District, in support of its request for judicial review, argues that the issue decided in this case was whether Turlock Joint Union High School District and Turlock Joint Union School District "...may act jointly for purposes of collective bargaining." (Emphasis added.) In fact, the question decided by this case was whether these two districts must act jointly for purposes of collective negotiations.

This case arose because three employee organizations sought to represent the employees of both districts in a single negotiating unit,<sup>2</sup> three employee organizations sought to represent the employees of these two districts in separate negotiating units,<sup>3</sup> and both districts doubted the appropriateness of all of the units sought as well as contested the showing of support submitted by four of the employee organizations.

As a result of the Board's decision, the employees of each school district, independent of the employees of the other school district, may

---

<sup>1</sup>See my dissent in Grossmont Union High School District, EERB Decision No. JR-2, July 25, 1977.

<sup>2</sup>Turlock School Counselors Association; California School Employees Association, Chapter 56; and Turlock Teachers Association, CTA/NEA.

<sup>3</sup>Turlock Federation of Teachers; Service Employees International Union, Local 110, AFL-CIO; and Turlock Professional Educators Group.

determine which, if any, employee organization they wish to represent them for purposes of negotiating with the school district. Presumably this question has been or ultimately will be resolved by an election.

Once the question of representation has been resolved, the two school districts and whatever exclusive representatives have been selected may or may not wish to negotiate jointly. The validity of any such subsequent agreement has not been decided by the Board's decision in Turlock.

Jerilou Cossack Twohey, Member